


01
02
03
04
05
06 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
07 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

08 EDWARD L. FULTON,)
09 Plaintiff,) CASE NO. C12-0329-JCC
10 v.)
11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
12 Defendants.)
13 _____)

14 Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, filed a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP)
15 in a civil matter. (Dkt. 1.) However, his application was deficient in that it was signed with
16 plaintiff's typewritten name, rather than his signature. It also contained information
17 inconsistent with that included on an IFP application filed by plaintiff in a different case on the
18 same day. *See Fulton v. Apple Corp.*, C12-331-MAT (Dkt. 1). In a Minute Order dated
19 February 29, 2012, the Court directed plaintiff to submit a revised IFP application containing
20 his signature and correct and consistent information as to his financial status. (Dkt. 2.) The
21 Court noted that failure to comply with the Court's directions by March 20, 2012 could result in
22 denial of plaintiff's motion to proceed IFP.

01 To date, the Court has not received a revised IFP application from plaintiff. The Court
02 therefore recommends that plaintiff's IFP application be DENIED. This action should
03 proceed only if plaintiff pays the applicable filing fee within **thirty (30)** days after entry of the
04 Court's Order adopting this Report and Recommendation. If no filing fee is paid within thirty
05 days of the Court's Order, the Clerk should close the file.

06 DATED this 9th day of April 2012.

07
08 
09 Mary Alice Theiler
United States Magistrate Judge